hereby does, move for Summary Judgment under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 56 as follows:

- 1. USAA CIC is entitled to summary judgment on the grounds that Plaintiff's first cause of action for breach of contract, second cause of action for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and the third cause of action for declaratory relief, and claim for punitive damages fail as a matter of law because the uncontroverted facts establish that USAA CIC's duty to defend or indemnify Whiteley was not triggered by the allegations within the complaint in the underlying civil lawsuit based on the terms of the subject homeowners and umbrella insurance policies.
- 2. In alternative, USAA CIC is entitled to partial summary judgment of Plaintiff's first cause of action for breach of contract because the uncontroverted facts establish that the duty to defend or indemnify Whiteley was not triggered by the allegations within the complaint in the underlying civil lawsuit based on the terms of the subject homeowners and umbrella insurance policies, such that no benefits were owed under the subject policies.
- 3. In the alternative, USAA CIC is entitled to partial summary judgment of Plaintiff's second cause of action for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing because the uncontroverted facts establish that USAA CIC did not withhold benefits under the policies and conducted a reasonable and prompt

investigation of Plaintiff's claim, reasonably relied on the advice of counsel, and that the parties were engaged in a genuine dispute as to whether USAA CIC's duty to defend Whiteley in the underlying suit was triggered.

- 4. In the alternative, USAA CIC is entitled to partial summary judgment of Plaintiff's third cause of action for declaratory relief because any declaratory relief in Whiteley's favor would be an incorrect statement as a matter of law.
- 5. In the alternative, USAA CIC is entitled to partial summary judgment of Plaintiff's punitive damages claim because the uncontroverted facts establish that there is no clear and convincing evidence that USAA CIC engaged in any conduct that amounts to fraud, oppression, or malice in its handling of the claim, nor is there any evidence of the advance knowledge and ratification of any conduct by USAA CIC by an officer, director, or managing agent, as required under California law.

This Motion will be based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion,

Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Motion, the Separate

Statement of Uncontroverted Facts, the Index of Evidence in Support of the Motion,
the Declaration of Barbara Gonzalez and the accompanying exhibits, the Declaration
of Jessica J. Ross and the accompanying exhibit, all pleadings and papers on file
herein, and other matters as may be presented to the Court before and at the Motion
hearing.

-3-

24

25

26

27

28